

## **Institute of Medicine (IOM) Classifications for Prevention**

Strategies are determined based on the service delivery method. Classifications of strategies are determined based on the targeted population. Once strategies and classification have been determined evidence-based programming selection begins. Evidence-based programming is defined as conceptually sound, internally consistent, reasonably well implemented and evaluated.

There are increased requirements for evidence-based programming implementation. These requirements have been established through SAPTA as initiated by SAMHSA, CSAP and United States Department of Education (USDOE). SAPTA's Evidence-Based Prevention Policy has been provided in a separate document.

The following IOM categories and definitions are an excerpt from "Drug Abuse Prevention: What Works", National Institute of Drug Abuse, 1997, p. 10-15 and have been approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP). This information can also be obtained at the following link: <http://casat.unr.edu/bestpractices/bptype.htm>.

In a 1994 report on prevention research, the Institute of Medicine (IOM 1994) proposed a new framework for classifying prevention based on Gordon's (1987) operational classification of disease prevention. The IOM model divides the continuum of services into three parts: prevention, treatment, and maintenance. The prevention category is divided into three classifications--universal, selective and indicated prevention.

### **Universal**

A Universal prevention strategy addresses the entire population (national, local community, school, and neighborhood) with messages and programs aimed at preventing or delaying the abuse of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. For example, it would include the general population and subgroups such as pregnant women, children, adolescents, and the elderly. The mission of universal prevention is to prevent the problem. All members of the population share the same general risk for substance abuse, although the risk may vary greatly among individuals. Universal prevention programs are delivered to large groups without any prior screening for substance abuse risk. The entire population is assessed as at-risk for substance abuse and capable of benefiting from prevention programs.

- **Universal Direct:** Interventions directly serve an identifiable group of participants but who have not been identified on the basis of individual risk (e.g., school curriculum, afterschool program, parenting class). This also could include interventions involving interpersonal and ongoing/repeated contact (e.g., coalitions).
- **Universal Indirect:** Interventions support population-based programs and environmental strategies (e.g., establishing ATOD policies, modifying ATOD

advertising practices). This also could include interventions involving programs and policies implemented by coalitions.

### **Selective**

Selective prevention strategies target subsets of the total population that are deemed to be at risk for substance abuse by virtue of their membership in a particular population segment--for example, children of adult alcoholics, dropouts, or students who are failing academically. Risk groups may be identified on the basis of biological, psychological, social, or environmental risk factors known to be associated with substance abuse (IOM 1994), and targeted subgroups may be defined by age, gender, family history, place of residence such as high drug-use or low-income neighborhoods, and victimization by physical and/or sexual abuse. Selective prevention targets the entire subgroup regardless of the degree of risk of any individual within the group. One individual in the subgroup may not be at personal risk for substance abuse, while another person in the same subgroup may be abusing substances. The selective prevention program is presented to the entire subgroup because the subgroup as a whole is at higher risk for substance abuse than the general population. An individual's personal risk is not specifically assessed or identified and is based solely on a presumption given his or her membership in the at-risk subgroup.

### **Indicated**

Indicated prevention strategies are designed to prevent the onset of substance abuse in individuals who do not meet DSM-IV criteria for addiction, but who are showing early danger signs, such as falling grades and consumption of alcohol and other gateway drugs. The mission of indicated prevention is to identify individuals who are exhibiting early signs of substance abuse and other problem behaviors associated with substance abuse and to target them with special programs. The individuals are exhibiting substance abuse-like behavior, but at a sub-clinical level (IOM 1994). Indicated prevention approaches are used for individuals who may or may not be abusing substances, but exhibit risk factors that increase their chances of developing a drug abuse problem. Indicated prevention programs address risk factors associated with the individual, such as conduct disorders, and alienation from parents, school, and positive peer groups. Less emphasis is placed on assessing or addressing environmental influences, such as community values. The aim of indicated prevention programs is not only the reduction in first-time substance abuse, but also reduction in the length of time the signs continue, delay of onset of substance abuse, and/or reduction in the severity of substance abuse. Individuals can be referred to indicated prevention programs by parents, teachers, school counselors, school nurses, youth workers, friends, or the courts. Young people may volunteer to participate in indicated prevention programs.

NOTE: In the majority of cases, indicated strategies would be the most appropriate strategies for youth already involved with the juvenile justice system.