

From: [Claudia Fisher](#)
To: [Joseph P. Filippi](#)
Subject: Opposition to Imposing COVID Vaccine Requirements
Date: Thursday, August 19, 2021 11:25:51 AM

To State of Nevada health Dept / Division of Public and Behavioral Health.

This will put you on notice that forcing, requiring, intimidating, bullying and/or generally making the lives of those who refuse the Experimental Gene Therapy (also known under the misnomer of "vaccine") is a violation of the Nuremberg Code. The press, social media and others keep stating how "safe" this Experimental Gene Therapy is. No disclosures are made about the actual dangers. No disclosures are made about the risk / reward ratio (is the risk of the experimental gene therapy more or less than the risk of the illness? Are there alternatives that do not involve giving the shot? - there are!). And the disclosures about the risks in any documentation provided to those who "volunteer" is lacking. As such, if you decide to proceed and violate the rights of students and/or teachers/instructors in Nevada you may be held liable for crimes against humanity as were Dr. Mengele and those who assisted him and others in their crimes. I strongly suggest you review the Nuremberg code which can be found here among others:

<http://www.cirp.org/library/ethics/nuremberg/>

Questions to consider:

- What is the risk of death for students from SARS-CoV2?
- What is the risk of death for students from the Experimental Gene Therapy (aka "vaccine")?
- What are the long-term risks to the health of students from the Experimental Gene Therapy (aka "vaccine")?
- Is it the goal of the Department to eradicate SARS-CoV2? If yes, how does it propose to do what has never happened in the history of medicine? If yes, how does it propose it to do so with EGT that is proven not to stop the disease?
- How does imposing the requirement of Experimental Gene Therapy violate the rights of the individual?
- If the Board imposes a requirement for Experimental Gene Therapy on all students, does the Board and by extension the taxpayers become liable for adverse reactions and/or death of the patient due to the EGT?
- Does the individual who has received the EGT have recourse against the pharmaceutical companies for any adverse reactions, including but not limited to death? If no, then who is liable and how difficult is it to get compensation and what limits are there to this compensation?
- How would the injury and/or death of one or more individuals who were coerced by an act of your department to take the EGT weigh on your conscience?

Sincerely yours

Sincerely,

Claudia

Claudia Fisher

Member RWR
