

**ADULT DAY CARE ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
APPROVED MEETING MINUTES**

Date: May 8, 2014

Time: 9 AM

MEETING LOCATIONS

Videoconference to:

Division of Public and Behavioral Health
Health Care Quality & Compliance
727 Fairview Drive, Suite E
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Division of Public and Behavioral Health
Health Care Quality & Compliance
4220 South Maryland Parkway, Building D, Suite 810
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Attendees in:

Las Vegas:

Christopher Vito, Chair
Kathy Posada, Baby Boomer's Activities Club, LLC (alternate)
Heather Lankford, Willow Creek Memory Care West
Helene Reilly, Nevada Adult Day Care Centers
Jeffrey Klein, Nevada Senior Services, Inc.

Lillian Chin, New Life (teleconference)
Pat Albin (teleconference)
Donna McCafferty, HCQC
Patricia Elkins, HCQC

Carson City:

Chuck Damon, Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP)
Nenita Wasserman, HCQC

Telephone:

Lily Chin, New Life Adult Day Health Care Center
Christina Vito, Nevada Adult Day Health Care Center
Patricia Capello, Washoe County Senior Services
Diane Ross, The Continuum
Sherry Krantz, Division of Aging and Disability Services

Approval of minutes from the February 13, 2014 meeting. *Chris Vito, Chairperson*

**HEATHER LANKFORD MOVED TO APPROVE THE MEETING MINUTES FROM
FEBRUARY 13, 2014. JEFFREY KLEIN MOVED TO SECOND TO THE MOTION.
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Bureau Topics – *Pat Elkins, HCQC*

Review and discussion of Report on Open/closed facilities, details whether they are from north or south. (Agenda Item 3a handout – CPT Report 2008-2013)

Patricia Elkins explained this report was a breakdown of open and closed facilities, the advisory council requested at the last meeting. She commented that the difference between north and south facilities is designated by looking at the license numbers. The northern facilities have the letters NVN at the beginning and the southern facilities have NVS. Continuing, she said essentially there were three complaints during this time that were substantiated. The areas that the complaints covered included: elopement, restraint and pre-employment tuberculosis testing.

The advisory councils reviewed the list for their own facilities and updated some information with administrator and phone number corrections.

Review of substantiated and unsubstantiated complaints received for adult day care centers 2012 and 2013 and review of whether those facilities were located in the north or south. It was noted the facilities with the complaints were located in the south. There are 12 active with 2 pending licensed adult day care facilities.

There was a brief discussion on interactions between an adult day care facility and the Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance staff regarding inspections. Chris Vito commented that this report was requested to try to maintain compliance with the Bureau. He added that three complaints in five years is not bad. The Adult Day Care Advisory Council provides a collaborative effort with HCQC and he sees that it works well for the adult day care facilities and the bureau.

Chuck Damon requested a copy of the updated facility directory once the corrections are made.

There was no public comment on this item.

Discussion of National Adult Day Services Association (NADSA) topics. *Chris Vito, Chairperson*
Chair Vito gave an update on NADSA.

Update on Trip to Washington, DC for NADSA Chair Vito said there were 78 meetings; he had six meetings and met with all Nevada US Representatives. He commented that Representatives Dina Titus and Joe Heck from Nevada are supporting adult day care centers as a Medicare reimbursement.

Medicare Funding of ADHC Services – Chair Vito said that the handout titled Protect Consumer Advocate in Adult Day Care Centers was a topic. On January 16, 2014, when defining Medicaid reimbursement; adult day care was inadvertently left out. The definition of home and community based services should include adult day care. To give patients a choice of going to a skilled nursing facility or an adult day care center would justify a Medicare reimbursement.

2014 Genworth Cost of Long-Term Care Study – This handout shows the State median annual care costs in 2014 for Nevada. There was a roundtable discussion regarding the increasing costs to be able to provide adult day care services. Jeff Klein commented there is an increase of patients being discharged with high acuity levels (serious injuries such as head injuries, stroke.) This is not the same adult day

care group as ten years ago. The adult day care services are receiving an increase of patients with higher acuity levels which results in the need for more acute care services. This has resulted in the increase of adult day care services.

2014 NADSA Conference in Charlotte, North Carolina scheduled for October 2014. The keynote speaker is Jeff Klein who is also a member of this advisory council. Attendance is encouraged from everyone on the ADCAC.

Update on any new Medicaid items relating to adult day care facilities including Home and Community Based Waivers. *Chuck Damon, Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP)*

Chuck Damon, Nevada Medicaid, DHCFP said that the information provided at today's meeting is very helpful in identifying the cost effectiveness of adult day care compared to the institutionalization of individuals. He did a comparison as far as needs assessments and diagnosis for patients of adult day care. He reiterated that the acuity level is high and Nevada's acuity level for adult day care patients is high when compared nationally as well.

Chuck Damon noted that he is the lead person for the person setting planning. He commented that the person center planning process is in development. The plan of care and person center planning will be done by Medicaid. He referred to the person center planning as *42 CFR 441*. He said that his office is in the process of setting up public workshops with home and community based providers and to inform the provider community of what the new regulations entail and how it involves the community. There is a lot of discussion regarding residential vs. nonresidential. Looking forward, Chuck Damon said unless the adult day care facility is physically attached to a residential facility; in general, does not appear to be an institutional setting.

Jeffrey Klein, said he chairs the Legislative Subcommittee of the Nevada Commission on Aging which met in June 2014. Some of the concerns of that subcommittee are the impact of the Federal Home and Community-Based Waiver (HCBW) regulations on those settings are where there is more than one resource located physically in the same building. For example, The Continuum has children, adults, and rehab in the same structure. These are separate programs but at the same location. How these rules are interpreted is a concern. Another concern, like Opportunity Village where there is residential and employment are on the same campus.

Chuck Damon said that this is a concern with all the providers as far as if they will be able to continue business the same. He said that they are putting together a self-assessment tool for all adult day health care providers without going into the residential part. This is to reach out to the provider community and ask the community as to how they perceive it will affect them. There is a workshop tentatively scheduled in June 2014 and he will get the dates out to everyone as soon as possible to provide information and solicit input concerns from the community. The location has yet to be determined.

In response to Chris Vito, as to when this package will be finalized, he explained the process for a budget must be developed, which is reviewed by Medicaid, Administration, and the Budget Division, which goes in front of the Legislature when they meet in January 2015.

Chuck Damon said Nevada is also involved with the Balanced Incentive Program. He said this would integrate patients into the community. Funding is being received and helps increase amount of services available to keep people at home than going to a hospital or nursing home.

Public Comment (No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken.)

There was no public comment under this item.

Adjournment.

There being no further items before the advisory council, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:17 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Nenita Wasserman