

Inconsistencies on U.S. Departments of Health Websites Regarding Anal Use of the Female Condom

Kristina Rodriguez · Christina Ventura-DiPersia · Michael T. LeVasseur · Elizabeth A. Kelvin

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract The female condom (FC) is FDA approved to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections during vaginal intercourse, but not for use during anal intercourse. Studies suggest that a sizeable proportion of men who have sex with men use the FC for anal intercourse despite lack of safety and efficacy information. We reviewed Department of Health (DOH) websites for U.S. states ($n = 50$) and major municipalities (population $>500,000$; $n = 29$) regarding anal use of the FC. Forty-eight (60.8 %) websites mentioned the FC, of which only 21 (45.8 %) mentioned anal use. Of those that mention anal use, 8 (38.1 %) supported, 13 (61.9 %) were neutral, and 1 (4.8 %) discouraged this use. Ten websites (47.6 %) provided instructions for anal use of the FC-ranging from removal of the inner ring, leaving the inner ring in place, and either option. In the absence of safety and efficacy data, U.S. DOH websites are providing different and often contradictory messages about the FC for anal sex.

K. Rodriguez (✉) · C. Ventura-DiPersia · E. A. Kelvin
CUNY School of Public Health, Graduate Center, 365 5th Ave,
New York, NY 10016, USA
e-mail: krodrigu@adarc.org

M. T. LeVasseur
Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Drexel University
School of Public Health, Nesbit Hall, 3215 Market St.,
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

E. A. Kelvin
Epidemiology & Biostatistics Program, CUNY School of Public
Health, Hunter College, 2180 Third Avenue, New York,
NY 10035, USA

E. A. Kelvin
HIV Center for Clinical and Behavioral Studies, New York State
Psychiatric Institute and Columbia University, 1051 Riverside
Drive, Unit 15, New York, NY 10032, USA

Resumen El condón femenino (CF) es aprobado por la FDA para prevenir el embarazo y las infecciones de transmisión sexual durante el coito vaginal, pero no para el uso durante el coito anal. Los estudios sugieren que una proporción considerable de los hombres que tienen sexo con hombres utilizan el CF para el coito anal pese de la falta de información sobre seguridad y eficacia. Se revisaron los sitios del web del Departamento de Salud de los estados del EEUU ($n = 50$) y los municipios principales (población >500000 , $n = 29$) con respecto al uso anal del CF. Cuarenta y ocho (60,8 %) sitios web mencionaron la CF, de los cuales sólo 21 (45,8 %) mencionaron el uso anal. De los que mencionaron el uso anal, 8 (38,1 %) dio la aprobación, 13 (61,9 %) eran neutrales, y uno (4,8 %) desalentado este uso. Diez sitios web (47,6 %) proporcionaron instrucciones para el uso anal del CF- que van desde la extracción del anillo interior, dejando el anillo interior en su lugar, y cualquiera de las opciones. En ausencia de datos de seguridad y eficacia, los sitios web de los Departamentos de Salud en los EEUU están ofreciendo mensajes diferentes y a veces contradictorios sobre el CF para el sexo anal.

Keywords Female condom · Anal sex · Men who have sex with men · Department of health website

Introduction

The female condom (FC) has been evaluated and approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for pregnancy and disease prevention when used during vaginal intercourse [1], but its safety and efficacy for HIV/STI prevention during anal intercourse have not been demonstrated. Despite the lack of data, a number of studies have

documented that a significant proportion of men who have sex with men (MSM) use the FC for anal sex, with prevalence estimates ranging from 13 to 21 % [2–5]. Distinct from the male condom, the FC has two rings, an inner ring that fits over the cervix to hold the condom in place during vaginal intercourse and an outer ring which sits outside of the body [1]. Some may remove the inner ring for improved comfort when using the FC for anal sex, however, the removal of the inner ring may cause it to slide out during use, leading to reduced efficacy [2]. In the absence of safety and efficacy data, the recommendations regarding anal use of the FC are based on anecdote.

Department of Health (DOH) websites are resources that the public often refers to for information about HIV prevention. Given that anal use of the FC is prevalent while safety and efficacy data on this use are lacking, we conducted a comprehensive review of DOH websites to investigate their messages regarding the FC for anal sex.

Methods

Website Search

An independent search of DOH websites for all 50 U.S. states and 29 major U.S. municipalities was conducted by at least two reviewers (KR, CV-D, ML, & EK), with the most recent review occurring January–March, 2014. A major U.S. municipality was defined as an urban area with a population >500,000 based on 2000 census estimates. The search terms used were “female condom,” “condom,” “HIV prevention,” “female condom for anal sex,” and “anal sex.” In addition, website menus were used to navigate to sections where the FC might be discussed, such as HIV/STI prevention and contraception.

A DOH website was classified as containing relevant information if the webpage itself provided FC information or if it had a posted document with FC information, even if the document was originally published by another source (e.g. an MMWR or CDC report). We did not classify a website as providing pertinent information if it directed the user to an unaffiliated website or a PDF located on another website that was sponsored by an independent organization. In a few cases, DOH websites provided links to information on another website that was sponsored by the DOH (i.e. a DOH affiliated website). In those cases, we counted the information as being provided by the DOH.

Here we report our findings regarding the general mention of the FC on DOH websites, as well as messaging specific to FC use during anal intercourse. Among those websites that do mention anal use of the FC, we also describe whether the messages appeared to be supportive of this use, discourage it, or neutral. In the neutral category,

we include messages that specified that the FC can be used for anal sex but the safety and/or efficacy was unknown, as well as websites that included a short statement about using male or FCs for vaginal and anal sex that one might interpret to mean that the FC can be used for anal sex even if this was not the intention of the website. For example, the Maryland DOH states “It is recommended that people either abstain from sex or protect themselves by using barriers such as latex condoms, dental dams and FCs whenever having oral, anal or vaginal sex [6].” While it is possible that the website did not intend to say that the FC can be used for anal sex by this statement, it could be interpreted that way. Four websites with such general statements are included in the neutral category (Arkansas, Maryland, Illinois, and Milwaukee).

Data Analysis

Summary statistics are presented to describe the percentage of public DOH websites that discuss the FC at all and what they say specifically about its use for anal intercourse overall and stratified by state versus municipality. The Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the statistical significance of any differences in information by state versus municipality websites. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$ and all analyses were conducted in SPSS 20.0 (Chicago, IL).

Results

Of the 79 websites searched, 48 (60.8 %) mentioned the FC, and of those, 22 (45.8 %) mentioned use of the FC for anal sex. Of the sites that mentioned the FC for anal sex, 9 (40.9 %) had messages that were supportive of this use, 12 (54.5 %) were neutral, while one (4.5 %) website specifically discouraged use of the FC for anal sex, stating that “Female condoms should not be used for anal sex, as they do not provide adequate protection. Because use of the FC during anal sex requires removal of the inner ring, the FC is unlikely to stay in place during anal intercourse [7]” (Table 1).

Only ten (45.5 %) of the websites that mention anal use of the FC provided instructions regarding the inner ring. Of these ten websites, seven explicitly instructed users to remove the inner ring, one said to leave it in, and two said the user could either leave it in or remove it. Out of the ten websites that also provided instructions regarding how the FC should be inserted when used for anal sex, five sites recommended inserting the FC directly into the anus before sex, two suggested placing the FC on the penis first and then using the penis to insert the FC into the anus, and three suggested that either method could be used (Table 1).

Table 1 Summary of number (%) of public health department websites that discuss the FC overall and for anal intercourse

	All DOH websites N (%)	State DOH websites N (%)	Municipality DOH websites N (%)	Fisher's exact P-value for difference between state and municipality
Total	79 (100.0)	50 (100.0)	29 (100.0)	NA
Any mention of the FC	48 (60.8)	36 (72.0)	12 (41.4)	0.009
Among those websites mentioning the FC, they include information on anal use	22 (45.8)	12 (33.3)	10 (83.3)	0.006
Tone of message suggests they				
Support this use	9 (40.9)	3 (25.0)	6 (60.0)	
Discourage this use	1 (4.5)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	
Are neutral regarding this use	12 (54.5)	8 (66.7)	4 (40.0)	
Among the websites that mention anal use of the FC, Instructions are provided regarding the inner ring	10 (45.5)	3 (25.0)	7 (70.0)	0.084
Instructions recommend:				
Removing inner ring	7 (70.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (57.1)	
Leaving inner ring in condom	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (14.3)	
Either remove the inner ring or leave it in, depending on preference	2 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (28.6)	
Among the websites that mention anal use of the FC, Instructions regarding method of applying the condom are provided	10 (45.5)	3 (25.0)	7 (70.0)	0.084
Instructions for insertion recommend:				
Inserting it directly into the anus prior to sex	5 (50.0)	2 (66.7)	3 (42.9)	
Placing it on the penis prior sex	2 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (14.3)	
Either inserting it into the anus directly or placing it on the penis prior to sex, depending on preference	3 (30.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (42.8)	

State and municipal DOH websites differed in terms of the information they provided on the FC. More state websites mention the FC (72.0 % state vs 41.4 % municipal, $p = 0.009$), but of those that mention the FC, more municipal websites mentioned anal use of the FC (33.3 % state vs 83.3 % municipal, $p = 0.006$). Of the websites that mention anal use of the FC, municipal websites were more likely to provide instructions regarding the inner ring (25.0 % state vs 70.0 % municipal, $p = 0.084$) and insertion methods (25.0 % state versus 70.0 % municipal, $p = 0.084$), although the difference was of only borderline significance (Table 1).

Details about each DOH website are presented in Table 2.

Discussion

Of the 48 websites that mention the FC, 45.8 % specifically mention the product for anal use. However, the messaging on the DOH websites about the FC for anal sex is

inconsistent, with some providing unwavering support of its use, others somewhat less supportive, and one state strongly discouraging this use. Furthermore, the instructions provided for anal use of the product varied in terms of the inner ring and insertion methods. The information included on a DOH website is likely determined by a number of different factors, including the political climate of the area. In addition, some DOHs may have a policy to follow the precedent of federal health organizations such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). At present, the DHHS provides no information regarding the FC for anal use while the CDC states on its website that “some people use FCs for anal sex. However, we do not know how well FCs prevent HIV and other STDs when used for anal sex. But we do know that HIV cannot travel through the nitrile barrier [8].” The varied messaging about anal use of the FC on DOH websites suggests that in the absence of safety and efficacy data, there are different policies being used in deciding what to post on the website. FCs are designated by the FDA as class 3 devices, while

Table 2 Specifics about the information on each DOH website and links to the location of that information

Department of Health (DOH)	Date accessed	FC mentioned	Message regarding FC use for AI	Methods for FC use with AI	Instructions regarding inner-ring AI	DOH homepage	Webpage where FC mentioned	Webpage where FC for anal intercourse mentioned
<i>States</i>								
Alabama	1/17/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.adph.org/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Alaska	1/17/2014	Yes	Not Mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://dhs.alaska.gov/dph/wch/Pages/informedconsent/familyplanning/barrier.aspx	http://dhs.alaska.gov/dph/wch/Pages/informedconsent/familyplanning/barrier.aspx	~ ~ ~
Arizona	1/20/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.azdhs.gov/index.php	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Arkansas	1/29/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.healthy.arkansas.gov/Pages/default.aspx	http://www.healthy.arkansas.gov/programsServices/infectiousDisease/hivStdHepatitisCPages/HIVAIDS.aspx	http://www.healthy.arkansas.gov/programsServices/infectiousDisease/hivStdHepatitisCPages/HIVAIDS.aspx
California	1/20/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/aids/Pages/OACAC.aspx	http://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/aids/Pages/OACAC.aspx	~ ~ ~
Colorado	1/29/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/CDPHE-DCEED/CBON/1251607766835	http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/CDPHE-DCEED/CBON/1251607766835	~ ~ ~
Connecticut	3/14/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.ct.gov/dph/site/default.asp	http://www.ct.gov/dph/lib/dph/std/stdtreatmentguidelines_2006.pdf	http://www.ct.gov/dph/lib/dph/std/stdtreatmentguidelines_2006.pdf
Delaware	1/20/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://dhs.delaware.gov/dhss/dph/chca/dphcontraceptive10.html	http://dhs.delaware.gov/dhss/dph/chca/dphcontraceptive10.html	~ ~ ~
Florida	1/29/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.floridahealth.gov/healthy-people-and-families/womens-health/family-planning/fp-whatsrightforme.html	http://www.floridahealth.gov/healthy-people-and-families/womens-health/family-planning/fp-whatsrightforme.html	~ ~ ~
Georgia	1/20/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://dph.georgia.gov/	http://dph.georgia.gov/files/STDProgram(STD-Treatment-2010-RR5912.pdf	http://dph.georgia.gov/sites/dph.georgia.gov/files/STDProgram(STD-Treatment-2010-RR5912.pdf
Hawaii	3/14/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://health.hawaii.gov/	http://health.hawaii.gov/	~ ~ ~
Idaho	1/30/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/Portals/0/Health/Disease/STD%20HIV/cfis_Complete_Methods_Setpdf/aid.htm	http://www.healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/Portals/0/Health/Disease/STD%20HIV/cfis_Complete_Methods_Setpdf/aid.htm	~ ~ ~
Illinois	1/20/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.idph.state.il.us/about/womenshealth/factsheets/aid.htm	http://www.idph.state.il.us/about/womenshealth/factsheets/aid.htm	~ ~ ~
Indiana	1/20/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.in.gov/isdh/	http://www.in.gov/isdh/	~ ~ ~
Iowa	1/20/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.idph.state.ia.us/search/search.aspx?q=%22female+condom%22&x=0&y=0	http://www.idph.state.ia.us/search/search.aspx?q=%22female+condom%22&x=0&y=0	~ ~ ~
Kansas	1/20/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.kdheks.gov/	http://www.kdheks.gov/	~ ~ ~
Kentucky	1/29/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://chfs.ky.gov/dph/	http://chfs.ky.gov/dph/	http://chfs.ky.gov/nfdonlyres/324ac542-4c4d-4b94-bd55-0ca369e7b0b1/0/whatiousshouldknowabouthiv_12_2011.pdf

Table 2 continued

Department of Health (DOH)	Date accessed	FC mentioned	Message regarding FC use for AI	Methods for FC use with AI	Instructions regarding inner-ring	DOH homepage	Webpage where FC mentioned	Webpage where FC for anal intercourse mentioned
Louisiana	1/30/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Maine	1/21/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Maryland	3/14/2014	Yes	neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://dhmh.maryland.gov/mch/SitePages/Home.aspx	http://phpa.dhmh.maryland.gov/mch/SitePages/fp_birthcontrol.aspx	http://phpa.dhmh.maryland.gov/mch/SitePages/Home.aspx
Massachusetts	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Insert into anus	Remove Inner Ring	http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/gov/departments/dph/	http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/cdc/factsheets/condoms.pdf	http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/cdc/factsheets/condoms.pdf
Michigan	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.michigan.gov/mdch/Birth_Control_Options_292765_7.pdf	http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/Birth_Control_Options_292765_7.pdf	~ ~ ~
Minnesota	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpe/diseases/hiv/forwomen.pdf	http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpe/diseases/hiv/forwomen.pdf	~ ~ ~
Mississippi	3/19/2013	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/index.cfm/44,11791,362,367.html	http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/index.cfm/44,11791,362,367.html	~ ~ ~
Missouri	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://health.mo.gov/index.php	http://health.mo.gov/index.php	~ ~ ~
Montana	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.dphhs.mt.gov/	http://www.dphhs.mt.gov/	~ ~ ~
Nebraska	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://dphhs.ne.gov/Pages/default.aspx	http://dphhs.ne.gov/Pages/default.aspx	~ ~ ~
Nevada	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://health.nv.gov/	http://health.nv.gov/	~ ~ ~
New Hampshire	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.dhhs.state.nh.us/	http://www.dhhs.state.nh.us/	~ ~ ~
New Jersey	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.state.nj.us/health/	http://www.state.nj.us/health/	~ ~ ~
New Mexico	1/30/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.health.state.nm.us/	http://www.health.state.nm.us/	~ ~ ~
New York	3/11/2014	Yes	Discouraged	Discouraged	Not mentioned	http://www.health.ny.gov/	http://www.health.ny.gov/	http://www.health.ny.gov/diseases/aids/consumers/condoms/female_condom/faqs.htm
North Carolina	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.ncdlihs.gov/	http://www.ncdlihs.gov/	~ ~ ~
North Dakota	3/11/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.ndhealth.gov/std/documents/mmwr-std-treatment-2010.pdf	http://www.ndhealth.gov/std/documents/mmwr-std-treatment-2010.pdf	http://www.ndhealth.gov/std/documents/mmwr-std-treatment-2010.pdf
Ohio	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.odh.ohio.gov/	http://www.odh.ohio.gov/	~ ~ ~
Oklahoma	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	https://www.ok.gov/health/	https://www.ok.gov/health/	~ ~ ~

Table 2 continued

Department of Health (DOH)	Date accessed	FC mentioned	Message regarding FC use for AI	Methods for FC use with AI	Instructions regarding inner-ring	DOH homepage	Webpage where FC mentioned	Webpage where FC for anal intercourse mentioned
Oregon	3/11/2014	Yes	Support	Insert into anus	Not mentioned	http://public.health.oregon.gov/HIVSTD/ViralHepatitis/HIVCareTreatment/Documents/KeyMsgs.pdf	http://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/HIVCareTreatment/Documents/KeyMsgs.pdf	http://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/HIVCareTreatment/Documents/KeyMsgs.pdf
Pennsylvania	1/31/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	www.health.state.pa.us	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Rhode Island	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.health.ri.gov/FamilyPlanningProgram.pdf	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
South Carolina	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	https://www.scdhetc.gov/health/mch/wh/fp/bcm.htm	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
South Dakota	1/19/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://doh.sd.gov/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Tennessee	1/19/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://health.state.tn.us/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Texas	3/14/2014	Yes	Neutral	Put condom over penis	Remove Inner Ring	http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=8589976133	http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=8589976133	http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=8589976133
Utah	1/18/2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Remove Inner Ring	http://health.utah.gov/	http://health.utah.gov/treatment/resources/positivelivingmanual.pdf	http://health.utah.gov/treatment/resources/positivelivingmanual.pdf
Vermont	1/31/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://healthvermont.gov/prevent/std/faq.aspx	http://healthvermont.gov/prevent/std/faq.aspx	~ ~ ~
Virginia	1/17/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.vdh.virginia.gov/epidemiology/diseaseprevention/BrochureImages/STD_Broch2013.pdf	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Washington	3/14/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://here.doh.wa.gov/materials/birth-control-choosing-the-method-thats-right-for-you/14_BCMETHODS_E12L.pdf	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
West Virginia	1/17/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.wvdhhr.org/	http://www.wvdhhr.org/	~ ~ ~
Wisconsin	1/17/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Wyoming	1/17/2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.health.wyo.gov/	http://www.health.wyo.gov/	~ ~ ~
<i>Cities and municipalities</i>								
Austin, TX	1/12/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://austintexas.gov/department/health	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Baltimore, MD	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Insert into anus	Leave inner ring in	http://www.baltimorehealth.org/HB2015InterimUpdateOct2015Optimized.pdf	http://www.baltimorehealth.org/HB2015InterimUpdateOct2015Optimized.pdf	http://www.baltimorehealth.org/HB2015InterimUpdateOct2015Optimized.pdf
Boston, MA	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Insert into anus	Remove Inner Ring	http://www.bphc.org/	http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/cdc/factsheets/condoms.pdf	http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/cdc/factsheets/condoms.pdf
Charlotte, NC	1/12/2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://charmeck.org/mecklenburgcounty/HealthDept/Department/Pages/Default.aspx?src=ud	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~

Table 2 continued

Department of Health (DOH)	Date accessed	FC mentioned	Message regarding FC use for AI	Methods for FC use with AI	Instructions regarding inner-ring	DOH homepage	Webpage where FC mentioned	Webpage where FC for anal intercourse mentioned
Chicago, IL	3/14/ 2014	Yes	Neutral	Put condom over penis	Either remove or leave in inner ring	http://www.cityofchicago.org/city/en/depts/cdph/provdrs/sti_hiv_aids/new/2012/sep/advocates_aroundtheworldobservefirsteverglobalecondomday.html	http://www.ringonit.org/view.php?id=2&sid=18	
Columbus, OH	1/12/ 2014	No	~	~	~	http://publichealth.columbus.gov/depts/cdph.html		
Dallas, TX	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.dallascounty.org/department/hhs/home.html		
Denver, CO	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.denvergov.org/environmentalhealth/		
Detroit, MI	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.detroitmi.gov/Departments/HealthWellnessPromotionDepartment/CommunityHealth/tabid/44461/Default.aspx		
El Paso, TX	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://home.eapsotexas.gov/health/tabid/137/Default.aspx		
Fort Worth, TX	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://fortworthtx.gov/health/		
Houston, TX	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.houstontx.gov/health/		
Indianapolis, IN	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.mchd.com		
Jacksonville, FL	1/12/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	http://www.dchd.net/		
Los Angeles, CA	3/14/ 2014	Yes	Support	Insert into anus	Remove Inner Ring	http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/dhsp/Condoms.htm	http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/dhsp/Condoms.htm	
Memphis, TN	1/13/ 2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~	~	http://www.shelbycountytn.gov/index.aspx?NID=24		
Milwaukee, WI	1/13/ 2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://city.milwaukee.gov/Health-Department.aspx		
Nashville, TN	1/30/ 2014	No	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	http://www.nashville.gov/Health-Department.aspx		
New York City, NY	3/14/ 2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/birth-control.shtml	http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/birth-control.shtml	
Oklahoma City, OK	1/13/ 2014	No	~ ~	~	~	https://www.occhd.org/		
Philadelphia, PA	1/30/ 2014	Yes	Not mentioned	~ ~	~ ~	http://www.phila.gov/health/index.html	http://akecontrolphilly.org/protect/	
Phoenix, AZ	3/14/ 2014	Yes	Neutral	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	http://www.maricopa.gov/publichealth/HIV/faq.aspx	http://www.maricopa.gov/PublicHealth/Services/STD/HIV/faq.aspx	

Table 2 continued

Department of Health (DOH)	Date accessed	FC mentioned	Message regarding FC use for AI	Methods for FC use with AI	Instructions regarding inner-ring	DOH homepage	Webpage where FC mentioned	Webpage where FC for anal intercourse mentioned
Portland, OR	1/13/2014	No	~ ~	~ ~	~ ~	https://web.multco.us/health	~ ~	~ ~
San Antonio, TX	1/30/2014	No	~ ~	~ ~	~ ~	http://www.sanantonio.gov/health	~ ~	~ ~
San Diego, CA	1/30/2014	No	~ ~	~ ~	~ ~	http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/programs/phs/	~ ~	~ ~
San Francisco, CA	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Both- Insert into anus and put FC over penis	Either remove or leave in inner ring	http://www.sdfph.org/dph/default.asp	http://fc2sf.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/FC2-Instruction-Sheet-Anal-Sex-ENGLISH.pdf	http://fc2sf.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/FC2-Instruction-Sheet-Anal-Sex-ENGLISH.pdf
San Jose, CA	1/14/2014	No	~ ~	~ ~	~ ~	http://www.sccgov.org/sites/secphd/enus/Pages/pdf.aspx	~ ~	~ ~
Seattle, WA	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Both- Insert into anus and put FC over penis	Remove Inner Ring	http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/communicable/std/condoms/condomuse.aspx	http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/communicable/aids101/protection.aspx	http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/communicable/aids101/protection.aspx
Washington, DC	3/14/2014	Yes	Support	Both- Insert into anus and put FC over penis	Remove Inner Ring	http://doh.dc.gov/	http://doh.dc.gov/node/133972	

male condoms as class 2. This means that any label change for the FC to include anal sex would require more research and evidence than such a change for a male condom [9].

There are a number of limitations to this study. As in any content analysis, the analysis is descriptive and limited by the availability of information on the websites searched. Thus we did not evaluate educational and/or promotional materials that may be available from the DOH but not posted on the website. Additionally, it is possible that some information was overlooked as websites can sometimes be difficult to navigate and search engines do not always work as well as they should.

Despite these limitations, it is clear that the messaging around the FC for anal intercourse varied widely. Such inconsistencies in the recommendations regarding use of the FC for anal sex may lead to confusion, uncertainty, and distrust of the FC among the public. Ultimately, people may be placed at increased risk by either using the device in an unsafe way or by not using the product at all, thus potentially missing an additional opportunity for protection. Safety and efficacy studies are needed in order to assess the FC for anal sex and to determine the optimal method for its use if it is proven safe and effective. In the meantime, efforts should be made to design appropriate and consistent messaging about anal use of the device given the current uncertainty and lack of evidence so that people receive the same information no matter what DOH website they are viewing.

References

1. Food and Drug Administration. FC2 Female Condom—P080002. Washington, DC2009. Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/DeviceApprovalsandClearances/Recently-ApprovedDevices/ucm133900.htm>. Accessed 16 Sep 2013.
2. Kelvin EA, Mantell JE, Candelario N, Hoffman S, Exner TM, Stackhouse W, et al. Off-label use of the female condom for anal intercourse among men in New York City. *Am J Public Health*. 2011;101(12):2241–4.
3. Gross M, Buchbinder SP, Holte S, Celum CL, Kobrin BA, Douglas JM Jr. Use of reality “female condoms” for anal sex by US men who have sex with men. HIVNET vaccine preparedness study protocol team. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89(11):1739–41.
4. Wolitski RJ, Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Gomez CA. Awareness and use of untested barrier methods by HIV-seropositive gay and bisexual men. *AIDS Educ Prev*. 2001;13(4):291–301.
5. Renzi C, Tabet SR, Stucky JA, Eaton N, Coletti AS, Surawicz CM, et al. Safety and acceptability of the reality condom for anal sex among men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2003;17(5):727–31.
6. Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Available from: <http://www.dhmh.state.md.us/>. Accessed 17 May 2013.
7. New York State Department of Health. Available from: <http://www.health.ny.gov/>. Accessed 25 May 2013.
8. Prevention CfDCa. MMWR Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines 2010. Atlanta, GA: 2010 December 17, 2010. Report No.: Contract No.: No. RR-12.
9. Beksinska M, Smit J, Joanis C, Usher-Patel M, Potter W. Female condom technology: new products and regulatory issues. *Contraception*. 2011;83(4):316–21.